

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,916	08/26/2003	Joachim Tiemann	01423P0006US	2443
32116 7	590 07/07/2006	EXAMINER		
•	LLIPS, KATZ, CLARK	KATCHEVE	KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/647,916	TIEMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u>1ay 2006</u> .	•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-28 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-21,27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,22-26 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
_	•	ne.				
	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	difficient the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.			
_	•					
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Applicant has withdrawn claims 6-21 and 27 and canceled claim 3. New claim 28 has been added. Pending claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22-26 and 28 are examined below.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is directed to a subcombination dowel but recites limitations of an insulating plate and interaction between the dowel and the insulating plate, appearing to be a combination. The claims are treated as being drawn to a subcombination dowel. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,308,483 to Romine as in the previous office action.

Regarding claim 1, Romaine discloses a dowel assembly having a pressing plate (fig. 5: 102), a dowel sleeve (162), attached to the pressing plate for an expansion

Art Unit: 3635

element (41) with head (42), the dowel sleeve having an expansion zone (fig. 5: space occupied by 160), and cutting devices (146) at the lower side and circumference of the pressing plate. The cutting devices being capable of cutting a radial pattern into an insulation plate, since the teeth are radially spaced.

Regarding claim 2, Romine discloses the pressing plate (102) as having a pressing plate shaft (shaft where aperture 148 is located) which can be shifted against the dowel sleeve (162).

Regarding claims 4, 24 and 25, Romine discloses an engagement device (160).

Regarding claims 5 and 26, Romine discloses the dowel sleeve as having an anti twist device (fig. 5: see side profiles of 162).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Romine discloses the pressing plate as having a recess (fig. 5: where 48 fits) for the engagement of a drive (45).

Claim 28 is rejected for reasons cited in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Romine discloses the outer rim (area encompassed at fig. 4: 144) as having cutting teeth.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the use of the cutting edges in the dowel assembly. Amended claim 1 contains these function limitations and is addressed in the rejection above. Applicant argues the function of Romine is different than that of the instant application but does not claim any structure necessary to perform such function.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,916

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

6/28/06

Basil Katcheves

Examiner AU 3635